

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 01905 01 OF 02 061309Z

50

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03 NEA-10

NSAE-00 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 USIA-15 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 SS-20 NSC-07 ACDA-19 OMB-01 MC-02 DRC-01 /131 W

----- 120958

R 061110Z APR 74

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5061

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

CINCLANT

USCINCEUR

USLOSACLANT

USNMR SHAPE

S E C R E T SECTION 1 OF 2 USNATO 1905

E.O. 11652: GDS 12-31-82

TAGS: MCAP, NATO

SUBJECT: APRIL 4-5 EXAMINATION OF NATO FORCE PROPOSALS/GOALS - FRG  
LUXEMBOURG

REF: A. USNATO 1377 (NOTAL)

B. USNATO 1233 (NOTAL)

C. USNATO 1790 (NOTAL)

D. USNATO 1688 (NOTAL)

E. ANNEX 4 AND ANNEX 7 TO APPENDIX D TO ENCLOSURE 1 TO

MCM-14-74, "FORCE PROPOSALS 1975-80" (NOTAL)

F. DRC/WP(74)1 REVISED FOR GERMANY AND LUXEMBOURG

(POUCHED TO WASHINGTON ADDRESSEES)

G. USNATO 1868 (NOTAL)

H. STATE 042348 (NOTAL)

SUMMARY. DRC RE-EXAMINED 1975-80 FORCE PROPOSALS FOR FRG AND  
LUXEMBOURG ON APRIL 4-5 TO DETERMINE WHETHER REVISED "TOTAL  
PACKAGES" PRESENTED REASONABLE CHALLENGES. DRC APPROVES  
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 01905 01 OF 02 061309Z

LUXEMBOURG PROPOSALS VIRTUALLY WITHOUT CHANGE AND MADE MINOR  
REVISIONS TO SEVERAL OF FRG'S. DRC, ON ININSTRUCTED BASIS,  
DISCUSSED FORMAT OF IS DRAFT COVER NOT FOR DRC REPORT TO DPC  
ON EXAMINATION OF FORCE PROPOSALS. END SUMMARY.

1. DRC DISCUSSED REVISS DRAFT COUNTRY CHAPTERS ON 1975-1980 FORCE PROPOSALS FOR FRG AND LUXEMBOURG ON APRIL 4-5 (REFS A, B, C, D). DURING INTRODUCTORY REMARKS, CHAIRMAN HUMPHREYS SAID FINAL DPC APPROVAL OF FORCE PROPOSALS MEANT THAT COUNTRY CONCERNED WOULD INCORPORATE THEM INTO COUNTRY PLANS AND BE ACCOUNTABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION DURING SUBSEQUENT DRC MULTILATERAL REVIEWS OF THOSE PLANS. MC REP EXPRESSED VIEW THAT DRC REVISIONS TO FRG FORCE PROPOSALS WOULD NOT SIGNIFICANTLY DEGRADE FRG CONTRIBUTION TO NATO, BUT DREW ATTENTION TO SLOW PROGRESS CALLED FOR BY REVISED PROPOSALS IN AREAS OF LOW-LEVEL SAM AND MARITIME IMPROVEMENTS. HUMPREYS NOTED THAT UNLIKE SEVERAL OTHER ALLIES, FRG'S FAVORABLE ECONOMIC POSITION REDUCED NEED TO DELETE/MODIFY PROPOSALS.

2. FOLLOWING SUMMARIZED DRC DISCUSSION/CHANGES OF FRG FORCE PROPOSALS: (REFS, B, E, D, F)

A. SERIAL 1A02: US REP (CLINARD) ASKED WHETHER FRG PLAN TO USE ROLAND II MILLILE SYSTEM MET PROPOSAL FOR "MEDIUM RANGE" CAPABILITY. FRG REP SAID ROLAND 88 WAS TECHNICALLY CAPABLE OF MEETING PROPOSAL REQUIREMENT. DRC UPHELD FORCE PROPOSAL; HUMPHREYS SAID EFFECTIVENESS OF ROLAND II WOULD BE FURTHER DISCUSSED DURING FUTURE MULTILATERAL REVIEWS.

B. SERIAL 1A12: FRG REP REPEATED EARLIER RESERVATION THAT PERSONNEL AND LOGISTICS LIMITATIONS PRECLUDED INCREASING UE OF PHANTOM SQUADRONS FROM 18 TO 21. HE STATED THAF F-4'S COULD NOT BE TRANSFERRED FROM TRAINING TO OPERATIONAL SQUADRONS WITHOUT SERIOUSLY HAMPERING FRG PILOT TRAINING PROGRAMS REQUIRED TO PHASE IN NEW REPLACEMENT FIGHTERS, MANY OF WHICH ARE TWO-SEATERS AND THEREFORE NEED MORE PILOTS. DRC WITH FRG AGREEMENT, REVISED FORCE PROPOSAL TO CALL FOR DEVELOPMENT OF PLANS TO INCREASE UE WITH TRAINING AIRCRAFT DURING TIMES OF CRISIS, WHICH REVISION MADE PRIOR US RESERVATION INAPPLICABLE.

C. SERIAL 1L03: FRG REP SAID TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES WITH SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 01905 01 OF 02 061309Z

SUB-SYSTEMS FOR LEOPARD MADE 1978 IMPLEMENTATION DATE DOUBTFUL. DRC CHANGED SUSPENSE DATE TO ASAP WITHIN PLANNING PERIOD.

D. SERIALS 1L05 AND 1L21: FRG REP SAID ALREADY ESTABLISHED MULTILATERAL PRODUCTION PLANS FOR THESE PROPOSALS REQUIRED AN EXTENSION OF IMPLEMENTATION DATES. UK AND ITALIAN REPS SUPPORTED FRG CONTENTION. DRC CHANGED COMPLETION DATE FOR 1L05 TO 1977 AND CHANGED 1L21 TO CALL FOR COMPLETION OF 175MM GUM REPLACEMENTS BY FH 70 155MM HOWITZERS DURING 1979.

E. SERIAL 1L27: DRC CHANGED PROPOSAL TO CALL FOR TWO ARMORED BRIGADES AND ONE ARMORED INFANTRY BRIGADE AS OPPOSED TO ONE ARMOR AND TWO INFANTRY. FRG REP SAID PLANNED BUNESWEHR RE-ORGANIZATION NECESSITATED CHANGE.

F. SERIAL 1M10N(A); DRC CHANGED PROPOSAL TO READ  
QUOTE: CONTINUE WITH COUNTRY PLANS FOR INTRODUCTION OF 10  
MINESWEEPERS OF TYPE 350 OR EQUIVALENT BY 1982 AND PROVIDE  
THEM WITH MINELAYING CAPABILITIES. END QUOTE.

SECRET

PAGE 01 NATO 01905 02 OF 02 061326Z

50

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03 NEA-10

NSAE-00 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 USIA-15 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 SS-20 NSC-07 ACDA-19 OMB-01 MC-02 DRC-01 /131 W  
----- 121078

R 061110Z APR 74

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5062

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

CINCLANT

USCINCEUR

USLOSACLANT

USNMR SHAPE

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 2 USNATO 1905

3. FRG REP SAID DRAFT COUNTRY CHAPTER (REF F) FIGURE FOR PER-  
CENTAGE OF GNP DEVOTED TO DEFENSE DID NOT INCLUDE EXPENSES  
FOR BERLIN AND REQUESTED FOOTNOTE TO THIS EFFECT. DTC ACCEPTED  
HIS REQUEST.

4. DRC UPHELD LUXEMBOURG REVISED FORCE PROPOSALS WITHOUT SIGNIF-  
ICANT CHANGE (REFS A, C, F).

5. MC REP NOTED THAT ONLY U.S. FORCE PROPOSALS INCLUDED  
PROVISION FOR AWACS AND ASKED DRC TO INCORPORATE FOLLOWING NEW  
FORCE PROPOSAL FOR ALL OTHER ALLIES: BEGIN QUOTE: IN  
CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER NATIONS CONTINUE TO INVESTIGATE PROVISION  
OF AN AIRBORNE EARLY WARNING SYSTEM OPTIMIZED FOR NATO. THIS  
SYSTEM SHOULD CONSIST OF A JET POWERED FORCE WHICH POSSESSES THE  
REQUIRED EARLY WARNING EQUIPMENT TO INSURE SURVIVABILITY.  
THE SYSTEM MUST BE RESPONSIVE FOR EMPLOYMENT THROUGHOUT ACE,  
BE INTEGRATED TO THE AWACS, TACS AND NADGE, ALSO FEATURE THE  
CAPABILITY TO DETECT AND TRACK AIRCRAFT OVER LAND. END QUOTE.  
DRC ACCEPTED PROPOSAL. INTENT WAS TO SUPPORT AWACS; MISSION  
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 01905 02 OF 02 061326Z

WILL SEEK IMPROVED PROPOSAL WORDING TO THAT END.

6. DRC DISCUSSED GENERAL FORMAT AND SCOPE OF IS DRAFT COVER NOTE FOR DRC REPORT ON EXAMINATION OF FORCE PROPOSALS (REF G). U.S. REP SAID PARA. 19, PARTICULARLY THE SUGGESTION THAT DRC REVISION TO FORCE PROPOSALS HAD "UPSET THE BALANCE OF THE INTEGRATED FOR STRUCTURE," WAS EXCESSIVELY APOLOGETIC, AND THAT REVISED FORCE PROPOSALS, WITH MORE REASISTIC PROSPECTS FOR IMPLEMENTATION, MAY YIELD IMPROVED BALANCE. U.S. REP ALSO NOTED THAT PARA.17 ASSERTION THAT ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY OF PROPOSALS PLACED IN EXTERNAL AID CATEGORY COULD BE ESTABLISHED BY EWG SUB-GROUP ASSUMED MORE AUTHORITY FOR SUB-GROUP THAN ALLOWED IN PRESENT TERMS OF REFERENCE, EMPHASIZING THAT DETERMINATION OF EXTERNAL AID COULD ONLY BE ACCOMPLISHED ON BILATERAL BASIS. UK AND DANISH REPS DISPUTED PARA.12 STATEMENT THAT DRC HAD UNANIMOUSLY ACCEPTED ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY OF REVISED FORCE PROPOSALS AND SAID EVEN THE REVISES PROPOSAL PACKAGE WAS NOT ECONOMICALLY FEASIBLE TO TOTO. UK, CANADIAN AND TURKISH REPS SUPPORTED PARA. 21B INVITATION FOR MC TO CONSIDER WHETHER REVISED FORCE PROPOSALAS NECESSITATED NEW RISK ASSESSMENT. MC REP SAID AUTHORITY FOR MC TO CONDUCT SUCH A RE-ASSESSMENT EXISTED INDEPENDENT FROM THE DRC RECOMMENDATIONS AND REQUESTED PARA. 21B BE DELETED. HUMPHREYS SAID ABOVE COMMENTS WOULD BE CONSIDERED BY IS IN PREPARING NEXT DRAFT OF COVER NOTE, TO BE DISCUSSED AGAIN AFTER DRC EOMPLETES FORCE PROPOSAL REVIEW FOR ALL COUNTRIES. ACTION REQUESTED: ANY GUIDANCE WASHINGTON MAY HAVE CONCERNING TEXT OF REPORT AS TRANSMITTED REF. G. RUMSFELD.

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 11 JUN 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 06 APR 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** garlanwa  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974ATO01905  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 GDS 12-31-82  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740482/abbryufp.tel  
**Line Count:** 195  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 4  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** A. USNATO 1377 (NOTAL) B. USNATO 1233 (NOTAL) C. USNATO 1790 (NOTAL) D. USNATO 1688 (NOTAL) E. ANNEX 4 AND ANNEX 7 TO APPENDIX D TO ENCLOSURE 1 TO MCM-14-74, "FORCE PROPOSALS 1975-80" (NOTAL)  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** garlanwa  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 09 APR 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <09 APR 2002 by elyme>; APPROVED <04-Sep-2002 by garlanwa>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** APRIL 4-5 EXAMINATION OF NATO FORCE PROPOSALS/GOALS - FRG LUXEMBOURG  
**TAGS:** MCAP, NATO  
**To:** STATE  
SECDEF INFO BONN  
LUXEMBOURG  
CINCLANT  
USCINCEUR  
USLOSACLANT

USNMR SHAPE

**Type:** TE

**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005